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## Introduction

Nonlinear optical interactions in monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), such as $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$, have drawn considerable interest in the past decade [1, 2, 3]. These 2D materials are particularly important for secondharmonic generation (SHG), as they are noncentrosymmetric.

However, in the majority of the experiments performed to date, the TMDCs are pumped with a high intensity pulsed laser at normal incidence, which limits the nonlinear interaction to the laser spot size. Aiming at enhancing the conversion efficiency of SHG in $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with the pump at 1550 nm , we propose a design where the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ monolayer is transferred onto a waveguide integrated on a chip. With this design, we can significantly increase the interaction length of light with the 2D material.

The initial goal of this scientific initiation project was to address phase-matching constraints through the concept of quasi-phase-matching strategy, which is achieved by setting up an array of evenly spaced $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ microribbons over the waveguide, as shown in Fig. 1(a). In collaboration with a post-doctoral researcher and researchers at MackGraphe (Mackenzie University), we aim to develop theoretical and experimental progress towards efficient SHG in $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. This patterning layout will be accomplished with lithographic and etching processes [4]. The onchip integrated waveguides used in this analysis were already fabricated using commercially lithographic process offered by Ligentec SA. Their key features are displayed in fig. 1(a). At the end of research period, only theoretical progress towards the modeling of second harmonic phenomena on $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ was obtained, with experimental procedure still to be implemented.

## Methods

Theoretical modeling

To determine the micro-ribbon size and orientation of the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ monolayer for a given pair of waveguide modes, we've modeled the SHG process with nonlinear coupled equations in the slowly varying amplitude approximation (SVEA) [5]. In this treatment, the electric field in the waveguide is described as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}=\sum_{j} a_{j}(z) \vec{e}_{j}(x, y) \exp \left(i \beta_{j} z\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{j}(z), \vec{e}_{j}(x, y)$ and $\beta_{j}$ are, respectively, the slowly varying envelope, the field profile and the propagation constant of the $j$-th mode. Using the mode expansion strategy, the equation describing the evolution of the second harmonic field can be derived following a perturbation theory approach to Maxwell's wave equations in matter. It can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial a_{S H}}{\partial z}=i \frac{\omega_{S H}}{4 \mathcal{N}_{S H}}\left[\int \vec{e}_{S H}^{*} \cdot \vec{P}_{S H} d a\right] \exp \left(-i \beta_{S H} z\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{S H}=\frac{1}{2} \int \vec{e}_{S H}^{*} \times \vec{h}_{S H} \cdot \hat{z} d a \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{S H}(2 \omega)=\varepsilon_{0} \sum_{j, k} \chi_{i j k}^{(2)}(2 \omega ; \omega, \omega) E_{j}(\omega) E_{k}(\omega) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{h}_{S H}, \omega_{S H}$ and $\beta_{S H}$ are, respectively, the magnetic field, angular frequency and propagation constant of the second-harmonic mode, $z$ is the direction of propagation along the waveguide, the indices ijk refer to the Cartesian components of the fields, $\varepsilon_{0}$ denotes the vacuum permittivity and $\chi_{i j k}^{(2)}$ denotes the second-order susceptibility tensor. The field overlap integral of eq. (2) is performed over the domain of the nonlinear medium.


Figure 1: (a) Depicted view of a SiN waveguide integrated with an array of equally spaced $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ microribbons used in the simulations. In this chip design, there is a 100 nm SiO 2 layer between the top surface of the waveguide and the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ microribbons. (b) Representation of the waveguide reference frame along with the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ crystal axes.

Given that the crystalline structure of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ belongs to the symmetry group $\mathcal{D}_{3 h}$ and using Neumann's Principle, the transformation of the susceptibility tensor yielded only four non vanishing components [6, 7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{(2)}:=\chi_{z^{\prime} z^{\prime} z^{\prime}}^{(2)}=-\chi_{z^{\prime} x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}^{(2)}=-\chi_{x^{\prime} z^{\prime} x^{\prime}}^{(2)}=-\chi_{x^{\prime} x^{\prime} z^{\prime}}^{(2)}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ are, respectively, the armchair and the orthogonal zigzag directions of the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ monolayer, as indicated in Fig. 1(b).

With the symmetry considerations of eq. (5), the integrand of eq. (2) written in the waveguide reference frame becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{e}_{S H}^{*} \cdot \vec{P}_{S H}=\varepsilon_{0} \chi^{(2)} a_{F}^{2} \exp \left(i 2 \beta_{F} z\right) \Omega \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega= & {\left[e_{S H, z}^{*} e_{F, z}^{2}-e_{S H, z}^{*} e_{F, x}^{2}-2 e_{S H, x}^{*} e_{F, z} e_{F, x}\right] \cos (3 \theta)+} \\
& {\left[e_{S H, x}^{*} e_{F, z}^{2}-e_{S H, x}^{*} e_{F, x}^{2}+2 e_{S H, z}^{*} e_{F, z} e_{F, x}\right] \sin (3 \theta) } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{S H, z}\left(e_{S H, x}\right)$ is the second-harmonic field profile in the $z(x)$ direction, $e_{F, z}\left(e_{F, x}\right)$ is the fundamental field profile in the $z(x)$ direction, and $a_{F}$ and $\beta_{F}$ are, respectively, the slowly varying envelope and propagation constant of the fundamental field.

To represent the array of evenly spaced $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ microribbons, the second-order susceptibility can be described in terms of a Fourier series [5]. Taking only the first order contribution to the Fourier series and adding an offset to account for the empty spaces between the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ microribbons, we got:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{e f f}(z)=\chi^{(2)} \frac{2}{\pi}\left[\frac{1+\exp \left(i \frac{2 \pi}{\Lambda} z\right)}{2}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\Lambda$ is the optimum period for quasi-phase-matching:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\frac{2 \pi}{\Delta \beta} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Delta \beta=\left|2 \beta_{F}-\beta_{S H}\right|$ being the phase-mismatch factor between the fundamental and second-harmonic modes.
The conversion efficiency for SHG was obtained by solving eq. (1) in the undepleted pump regime, taking into account the symmetry considerations of eq. (5) and the effective second-order susceptibility introduced in eq. (8). It is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{P_{S H}}{P_{F}{ }^{2}}=\frac{2 \pi^{2} c^{2} \varepsilon_{0}^{2} \chi^{(2)^{2}}}{\lambda_{F}} \frac{\left|\int_{M o S_{2}} \Omega d a\right|^{2}}{\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{S} \vec{e}_{S H}^{*} \times \vec{h}_{S H} \cdot \hat{z} d a\right]\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{S} \vec{e}_{F}^{*} \times \vec{h}_{F} \cdot \hat{z} d a\right]^{2}}|\gamma|^{2}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\int_{0}^{L}\left[1+\exp \left(i \frac{2 \pi}{\Lambda} z\right)\right] \exp (i \Delta \beta z) d z \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which, $\operatorname{Pot}_{S H}=\left|a_{S H}\right|^{2}, \operatorname{Pot}_{F}=\left|a_{F}\right|^{2}, c$ is the speed of light in vacuum and $\lambda_{F}$ is the fundamental field wavelength. In eq.(10), the integral in the numerator is performed only in the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ domain, whereas the integrals in the denominator are evaluated over the waveguide cross section $(S)$.

The field overlap integrals of eq. (10) for a SiN waveguide buried in a $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ substrate can be calculated using in Comsol Multiphysics. The field components of the fundamental and second-harmonic modes at 1550 and 775 nm , respectively, are calculated through a mode analysis study performed in a 2 D component, where the waveguide cross-section is defined. The fundamental field was chosen to be the $\mathrm{TE}_{00}$. Once the field solutions had been found, the fundamental and second-harmonic modes were projected onto another 2 D geometry with the waveguide crosssection, so that their field overlap integrals could be performed. The mode projection is done with the aid of Comsol extrusion operators [8]. The propagation losses were neglected in the simulation, since they cut off only a very small fraction of the output power in millimetric-range interaction lengths ( $\alpha=0.2 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{cm}$ as informed by Ligentec). A Mathematica script was implemented to calculate the conversion efficiency (eq. (10)), taking into account the field overlap factors obtained in Comsol and the phase-mismatch factor $(\gamma)$. The second-order susceptibility considered in the calculations was $2.4 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{V}$ [3].

| Interaction | $\theta$ | Conv. efficiency $\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \beta(\mathbf{r a d} / \mu \mathbf{m})$ | TE fraction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TM}_{01}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $4.51 \times 10^{-13}$ | 0.173 | 0.006 |
| $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TE}_{01}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $4.71 \times 10^{-14}$ | 0.394 | 0.956 |

Table 1: Main characteristics of the mode interactions that result in the highest conversion efficiencies for SHG in a $\operatorname{SiN}$ waveguide integrated with $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ armchair direction and the waveguide axis, $\Delta \beta$ is the phase-mismatch factor between the SH and fundamental field modes, and TE fraction is the fraction of the $\vec{e}_{S H}$-field in the $x$ direction.

The SH modes that yield the highest overlap factors with the fundamental field mode in the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ domain, and therefore the highest conversion efficiency, were found to be the $\mathrm{TM}_{01}$ and $\mathrm{TE}_{01}$ modes. Their main characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The angle dependence of the conversion efficiency of the interactions $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TM}_{01}$ and $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TE}_{01}$, which is explicitly accounted for in eq (7). Note that the maximum conversion efficiency for the $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TM}_{01}$ interaction occurs for the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ armchair direction aligned along the waveguide, whereas for the $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TE}_{01}$ interaction it occurs when the airmchair direction forms a $30^{\circ}$ angle with the waveguide axis. At first glance, it may seem odd that the highest conversion efficiency occurs for the $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TM}_{01}$ interaction, since the second-order susceptibility does not couple field components to the out-of-plane direction. Although the $\mathrm{TM}_{01}$ mode exhibits negligible field amplitude in the $x$-direction, its field component along the z-direction (waveguide axis) overlaps significantly with the $\mathrm{TE}_{00}$ mode in the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ domain. In this case, the high conversion efficiency is brought by the dominant $\left[e_{S H, z}^{*} e_{F, x}^{2} \cos (3 \theta)\right]$ term of eq. (7).

To ensure quasi-phase-matching to the $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TM}_{01}\left(\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TE}_{01}\right)$ interaction, the width of the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ microribbons $(\Lambda / 2)$ should be $18 \mu \mathrm{~m}(8 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. In the phase-mismatch condition, the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer is left unpatterned. After 1 mm of interaction length, the output SH-field power in the quasi-phase-matching regime is $60 \mathrm{nW}, 3$ orders of magnitude higher than the peak power achieved in the phase-mismatch regime. This SH-power level is also 3 orders of magnitude higher than that achieved by pumping the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flake at normal incidence with a free-space laser [3].

## Preliminary results of the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ transfer

Our collaborators in MackGraphe - SP have started the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ tranfer process to the waveguides fabricated by Ligentec. They have been able to transfer two uniform $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flakes onto different sections of the same SiN waveguide. Taking the two flakes into account, the total interaction length along the waveguide axis is around $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which we believe to be enough to evaluate the enhancement of the SH-power with the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ patterning.

By performing polarization-resolved SHG experiments [9], they have shown that the armchair direction of the transferred flakes form a $40^{\circ}$ and a $35^{\circ}$ angle with the waveguide axis, which makes them more suitable to SHG via the $\mathrm{TE}_{00} \rightarrow \mathrm{TE}_{01}$ interaction. Their small angle mismatch to the target $30^{\circ}$ could lead to a decrease of at most $15 \%$ in the SH-output power, as evaluated by the angle-dependent power plots. The next steps would be to lithographically pattern the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flakes to define the array of microribbons with $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of width and perform the SHG measurements.

Final Considerations

Much on the experimental aspect has to be done to test the theoretical modeling of the problem. Still, with the preliminary results we've got a good insight on the main parameters and their impacts on the conversion efficiency of SHG process.

Further investigation on other possible geometry optimizations and interaction strength with waveguides geometric parameters are encouraged to deeper improvement and technical dominance of the effect studied. Other TMDC's monolayers, like $\mathrm{WSe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{WS}_{2}$, may also be used to characterize the method and apparatus applicability on other contexts [10].
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